

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,033	06/20/2003	Hiroharu Ikeda	100647-3300CONT	8460	
31013	7590 09/20/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP HENDRICKSON, STUA				N, STUART L	
INTELLECT	UAL PROPERTY DE	PARTMENT			
1177 AVENU	E OF THE AMERICA	AS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK.	NY 10036		1754		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/601,033	IKEDA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Stuart Hendrickson	1754	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	ess
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this comm  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· •		
2a) <u></u>		is action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims	•		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.			
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	awn from consideration.		
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Applicat	on Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		v the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		*	,
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		. , , , , , ,	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	-	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	plication No. <u>08/612,914</u> .	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Sta	age
	application from the International Bure			
* 5	see the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attanh	Val			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) []		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
S. Patent and T		Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	09162005

Application/Control Number: 10/601,033

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' admissions concerning JP 3-503334 taken with Yagi et al.

Applicants admit on pg. 5 of the specification that the fibers of '334 are old and known. This differs in that the heating of the known fibers is not admitted as prior art.

Yagi teaches in column 2 lines 40-60 heating carbon fibers to 2500-3500 in inert gas and arriving at fibers with a diameter of 0.05-2 microns. The overlapping diameter range renders the claim unpatentable; In re Malagari 182 USPQ 549.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to so heat the known fibers of '334 because doing so stabilizes the structure and make the conductive material desired by Yagi col. 1 lines 15-30.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A) The last 3 lines are unclear, especially in the repeated use of 'in which' and as to whether one or many fibers are claimed.
- B) Lines 2 and 16-18 appear to be inconsistent in that the substructure size is greater than the actual fiber.

Art Unit: 1754

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754